

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/828,703                                   | 04/21/2004    | Edward Wells Knowlton | 1000-011                | 5381             |
| 75   | 90 12/15/2006 | •                     | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Joel Harris                                  |               |                       | ROANE, AARON F          |                  |
| 1027 Solana Drive<br>Mountain View, CA 94040 |               | •                     | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |               |                       | 3739                    |                  |
|  |               |                       | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | $\mathcal{M}$  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summers  | 10/828,703  | KNOWLTON, EDWARD WELLS   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aaron Roane   | 3739   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply   | ppears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MO7HS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON | DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21  | April 2004.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th   | ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | ) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,  | 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreship claim(s) is/are allowed.  | rawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  |   | ÷  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and   | l/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and are  |   | a Evaminer   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre   | •   | · · ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | = ' '   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(  | a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume  | ents have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume   |   | ation No   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr  | iority documents have been recei  | ved in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bure  | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a li   | st of the certified copies not receive  | ved.   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summa  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3, 10-16, 19 and 20 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,216. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they encompass the same steps.

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-39 of copending Application No. 10/813,980.

Page 3

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because entail the same set of steps.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knowlton (USPN 6,350,276).

Regarding claims 1 and 15, Knowlton discloses a method of treating a target tissue site, the method comprising: identifying/selecting the tissue site based on a tissue profile or condition/deformity of the tissue site (col. 2, lines 19-46, col. 12, line50-67, col. 13, 35-47an d14, lines 19-54); delivering energy to the tissue site at a first depth to achieve a first tissue effect using an energy delivery device (col. 2, lines 20-34); delivering energy to the tissue site at a second depth to achieve a second tissue effect using an energy delivery device (col. 2, lines 20-34); and remodeling at least a portion of tissue at the

tissue site (col. 2, lines 19-46, col. 8, lines 11-30 and claim 1). Said first or second energy deliver is RF, see col. 7, line 52 through col. 8, line 30 and col. 13, line 18 through col. 21, line14.

Regarding claims 2 and 16, Knowlton further discloses the tissue site is selected based on an amount of convexity at the tissue site or an image of the tissue site, see col. 12, lines 1-3.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 8, 17 and 18, Knowlton disclose the claimed invention, see col. 6, lines 5-48, col. 7 and 8.

Regarding claim 5, Knowlton further disclose the second tissue effect is at least one of thermal lipolysis, three dimensional inward contouring, or three dimensional inward contouring of convex deformities, see col. 2, lines 19-46, col. 8, lines 11-30 and claim 1.

Regarding claim 6, Knowlton further disclose the second tissue effect is at least one of thermal contraction of the fibrous septae, thermal contraction of muscle, thermal contraction of fascia, skeletonization of the fibrous septae, three dimensional tissue repositioning, or three dimensional deep tissue repositioning of convex deformities, see col. 2, lines 6-16 and col. 6, lines 49-57, and col. 10, lines 36-53.

Regarding claim 7, Knowlton discloses the claimed invention, see col. 6, lines 38 through col. 8, line 3.

Regarding claim 9, Knowlton further discloses delivering a pattern of energy applications to the tissue site using the energy delivery device; and producing a plurality of thermal adhesions or lesions wherein the plurality of adhesions or lesions is substantially continuous or at least partially overlapping, see col. 16, lines 54-67.

Regarding claim 10, Knowlton discloses delivering a vectored force to the tissue site, see col. 8, lines 11-30.

Regarding claim 11, Knowlton further discloses cooling a layer of tissue or a surface layer of tissue of at least a portion of the tissue site, see col. 4, line 55 through col. 5, line 15.

Regarding claim 12, Knowlton further discloses producing a reverse thermal gradient within at least a portion of the tissue site, see col. 5, lines 52-59.

Regarding claim 13, Knowlton discloses producing a reverse thermal gradient within at least a portion of the tissue site, see col. 10, lines 1-3.

Regarding claim 14, Knowlton further disclose substantially preserving at least a portion of a surface, a tissue layer or an epidermal layer at or adjacent the tissue site, see entire disclosure, particularly col. 2, 8 and 14.

Regarding claim 19, Knowlton further disclose controlling at least one of dose or the depth of energy delivery responsive to the identified deformity, see col. 7, line 31-51.

Regarding claim 20, Knowlton further disclose the dose or depth or depth of energy delivery is controlled by at least one of the selection of electrode size, power, pre-cooling period, cooling period, or energy delivery time, see col. 7, line 31-51.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Roane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,703

Art Unit: 3739

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A.R. *H*•K. December 8, 2006

MICHAEL PEFFLEY